Appln. No. 10/654,956

Amdt. dated October 17, 2005

Reply to Office action of September 29, 2005

REMARKS / ARGUMENTS

This is in response to the examiner's action mailed September 29, 2005.

In response to the examiner's requirement for election/restriction, applicant elects

to proceed with claims 13 through 34 which comprise the examiner's group II. This

election is made without traverse.

Claim 13 as originally presented defined the reinforcing net referred to therein in

terms of the language of claim 1. As a consequence of the election/restriction, claim 13

has been amended to include the language defining the reinforcing net originally

presented in claim 1 as an explicit part of amended claim 13.

On reviewing the claims on file, it is noted that claim 22 was improperly

dependent from claim 10. This discrepancy has been altered to claim 13.

No other issues were raised in the examiner's correspondence and it is believed

that the application is now in condition for detailed examination.

Respectfully submitted,

Bereskin & Park

H. Roger Hart, Reg. No. 26,426

8